

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/611,835	9/611,835 07/07/2000		Brent R. Stockwell	50164/002002	6924
21559	7590	03/21/2005		EXAM	INER
CLARK &		 -	TRAN, MY CHAU T		
101 FEDERAL STREET BOSTON, MA 02110				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•				1639	

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

11:6							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/611,835	STOCKWELL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	MY-CHAU T TRAN	1639					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHY statute, cause the application to become ABAR	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. 4S from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>04 January 2005</u> .						
·=	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
, —	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 89-156 is/are pending in the app	olication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	· · ——						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>89-156</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by	the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing(s)) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. Iments have been received in Apple Priority documents have been re Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur	mmary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	SB/08) 5) \(\bigcap \) Notice of Info 6) \(\bigcap \) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 1639

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

- 1. Applicant's response filed 01/04/2005 is acknowledged and entered.
- 2. Applicant's response filed 6/29/2004 is acknowledged and entered.
- 3. Claims 89, 114, 135, and 149 were amended by the amendment filed on 12/15/2003.
- 4. Claims 1-88 have been canceled by the amendment filed on 11/8/02.
- 5. Claims 89-156 are pending.
- 6. Claims 89-156 are treated on the merit in this Office Action.

Maintained Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 8. Claims 89-156 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stylli et al. (US Patent 5,985,214).

Stylli et al. teaches an automated method and system for identifying chemicals having useful activity such as biological activities of chemicals and collecting informations resulting

Art Unit: 1639

from such a process (col. 6, lines 1-24). The method comprise of testing a therapeutic chemical for modulating activity of a target such as cell surface proteins in a cell based assay (col. 38, lines 46-67; col. 39, lines 1-9; col. 43, lines 6-9). The method comprises dispensing the reagents (compounds) into the addressable sample wells, which contains a predetermined volume of the sample (test cells) (col. 6, lines 25-40; col. 8, lines 14-18). The method can individually screen at least 25,000 selected and discrete chemicals or chemical libraries wherein the chemicals are structurally related base on activity relationships (i.e. a combination of compounds) (col. 37, lines 44-51). Various method of detection of the compound interaction with the target includes fluorescent measurement such as FRET (fluorescence resonance energy transfer) (col. 27, lines 29-35; col. 28, lines 15-17; col. 39, lines 1-67 thru col. 42, lines 1-23).

The method of Stylli et al. does not expressly disclose that the chemical compounds tested are forty-nine unique combinations of seven different compounds.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include testing of forty-nine unique combinations of seven different compounds in the method of Stylli et al. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include testing of forty-nine unique combinations of seven different compounds in the method of Stylli et al. because the number of combinations of compounds to be tested for the affect of biological property would be a choice of experimental design and is considered within the purview of the cited prior art. Additionally, it has been held that "[w]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA1955). Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable

Art Unit: 1639

expectation of success in testing of forty-nine unique combinations of seven different compounds in the method of Stylli et al. since the taught method would need no modification other than increasing the amount of compound combinations that do not materially affect the method steps.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments directed to the rejection under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stylli et al. (US Patent 5,985,214) for claims 89-156 were considered but they are not persuasive for the following reasons.

Applicant alleges that the method of Stylli et al. is not obvious over the presently claimed method because Stylli et al. do not hint at the claimed inventive concept of multi-compound screening, i.e. screening a desired two or higher order combination of compounds, and the passage of Stylli et al., i.e. Stylli: col. 44, lines 20-23, to which the examiner directed to does not hint of the presently claimed method for screening a desired two or higher order combination of compounds.

Applicant's arguments are not convincing since the method of Stylli et al. is obvious over the presently claimed method. Stylli et al. do hint at the claimed inventive concept of multicompound screening, i.e. screening a desired two or higher order combination of compounds. Stylli et al. discloses "in practicing the methods of the invention, the products or compositions can be used alone or in combination with one another, or in combination with other therapeutic or diagnostic agents," i.e. screening a combination of compounds (see e.g. col. 44, lines 20-23). Thus the degree of combination of compounds, i.e. a desired two or higher order, would be a choice of experimental design or optimum or workable range. In this passage, the phrase "in

il control rumber: 05/011,

Art Unit: 1639

Stylli et al., and it would have reasonably suggested to one of ordinary skill in the art to include testing of forty-nine unique combinations of seven different compounds in the method of Stylli et al. Therefore, the method of Stylli et al. is obvious over the presently claimed method, and the rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to My-Chau T. Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-0810. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday: 8:00-2:30; Tuesday-Thursday: 7:30-5:00; Friday: 8:00-3:30.

Application/Control Number: 09/611,835 Page 6

Art Unit: 1639

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew J. Wang can be reached on 571-272-0811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mct March 14, 2005